Social Shyness Stands behind a Roll Pen in a Female Bladder: It Mimics Acute Appendicitis
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INTRODUCTION

Herein, we reported unique case which had been presented to emergency with picture simulating acute appendicitis. The surprising event; when she underwent the routine investigations, an intact roll pen was seen on her pelvic cavity. Later, she claimed self-inflicted intravesical trans-urethral roll pen (14 cm) that was inserted for two months prior to her presentation.

CASE REPORT

A 38 years old Saudi female, married with 4 offspring. She was presented to emergency with right iliac fossa pain and fever of two days duration. She also experienced nausea and vomiting twice. On examination she was febrile (38˚C) with tender right iliac region and guarding. Leukocytosis, anemia and high erythrocytes sedimentation rate (ESR) were the remarkable findings in her laboratory workup. Primary diagnosis was acute appendicitis with shifting to surgical ward. Routine preoperative abdominal and pelvic ultrasound revealed minimal right iliac fossa collection (2 × 3 cm) with possible foreign body in the bladder or near the uterus.
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Urological consultation was requested. Kidney ureter bladder (KUB) X-ray was done in which a complete roll pen was obliquely lie inside her pelvic cavity (Figure 1). Urinalysis showed microscopic hematuria and minimal pyuria. Non contrast abdominal and pelvis computerized tomography (CT) was performed; it revealed a roll pen (14 cm) obliquely lie inside the bladder, its tip was piercing the bladder on right side and it has been under the skin (Figure 2).

Retrospective through history taking; the patient claimed that she inserted the roll pen for sexual play and she could not retrieve it when it had been slipped inside her bladder. Social shyness prevents her to seek any medical advices and keep silent for 2 months. On next day; cystoscopy was carried out and trial manipulation of the roll pen inside the bladder with a grasping forceps (Figure 3A). With hydro-distension of the bladder, the roll pen was mobilized inside the bladder cavity starting by detachment of the blunt posterior end first, once it became free withdrawal of the other end aiming to be on its longitudinal access. Intact extraction of the roll pen via the urethra has been done (Figure 3B). Re-check cystoscopy for possible perforation was negative. Fixation of large caliber urethral catheter was done with recommendation of one week catheterization. Total procedure time was 30 minutes. The patient stayed in hospital for couple of days with low grade fever. Ultrasound follow-up showed a small pelvic free fluid in Douglas pouch. She was responded well on intravenous antibiotic and discharged afebrile with normal ultrasound findings. One week later, passive aseptic cystogram was carried out; it showed no leakage with intact bladder walls.

**DISCUSSION**

Although foreign body (FB) inside the adult bladder is not a rare condition, but the aggressiveness of the case by insertion of a complete roll pen as well as the minimal invasive procedure with safely extraction of the whole object as one unit are worth to be demonstrated. In English literature many articles discuss the insertion of pen case, cover or even smaller objects were reported. In most of them cystoscopic extraction was the best treatment modality. However, open surgery is still encountered.

Most of reported bladder FB cases were presented to the hospital with urinary symptoms. Different objects have been reported, majority of them were iatrogenic in nature, intrauterine devices (IUD), artificial sphincters, vaginal pessaries, catheter, and beak of resectoscope. However, self-inflicted objects were encountered aiming for sexual pleasure and gratifications. In Rafique and colleagues study, they traced many cases with different objects (wire, thermometers, hair pen, battery and others), all presented with urological symptoms. The radiological diagnosis of radiopaque objects is the cornerstone before any intervention. The identification of numbers, length, size, and associated injuries are the main goals. In our case, CT was important to identify the extent of associated
bladder injury.

The treatment of choice is surgical extraction. Minimal invasive techniques (endoscopic) is the best, however in some complicated cases the open surgical treatment (cystostomy) is warranted.

In English literature, we could trace only one case similar to our case (ball pen) in which the open surgery was done\(^{10}\) and the urinary symptoms were the presentation.

In our case, the object is 14 cm long, stiff, rigid and obliquely lies with small perforation. Late presentation due to social shyness prevents early intervention. Non-familiar presentation; right iliac fossa pain and suspicious of acute appendicitis was the primary diagnosis. All these factors were made difficultly, urological challenge and seldom case presentation.

**CONCLUSION**

In community; shyness, social traditions and believes may mask serious surgical conditions. For general surgeons, differential diagnosis of bladder problems should be excluded before any operative intervention. Routine investigation, at least ultrasound, KUB-X-ray, prior to any lower abdominal surgery is mandatory. For urologists, whenever FB in the bladder, minimal invasive techniques should be exhausted before open surgery is threatened.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

None declared.

**REFERENCES**