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Introduction: We evaluated the efficacy and safety of repair of the rectourethral and rectovaginal fistulas with transanal approach using the Latzko technique.

Materials and Methods: We repaired 8 fistulas with transanal approach. Fistulas were rectourethral in 5 patients, and in the only woman of the series, they were rectovaginal and vesicovaginal. In 3 patients, the fistulas had been diagnosed following prostatectomy, urethral stricture repair, and colonic resection and radiotherapy due to rectosigmoid cancer in 3, 2, and 1 patients, respectively. Complying with Latzko technique, the fistula orifice was exposed and a fusiform incision was made with the orifice in its center. The mucosa lying between the incision and the orifice was excised in the direction of the incision to the orifice, leaving the fistula edges to meet. Then, the edges were closed, followed by closure of the muscular layers above it. Finally, the edges of the rectal mucosa were closed.

Results: Two patients had 2 fistulas and 4 had 1 fistula who were all managed by transanal approach. During a median follow-up period of 44 months, no serious complication was noted, except for recurrence of deep vein thrombosis in 1 patient with a positive history for this complication. Hospitalization period was 1 to 7 days.

Conclusion: It seems that transanal repair is a simple and effective technique with minimal complication rate in the treatment of rectourethral fistulas. Regardless of the etiology, this method can be used as the preferred therapeutic technique. Further studies are necessary to confirm our findings.
addition to its high success rate. Our aim was to determine the efficacy, simplicity, and safety of Latzko transanal approach for the treatment of rectovaginal and rectourethral fistulas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Between 1999 and 2006, we had 6 patients with 8 fistulas who were treated by Latzko technique. The patients' characteristics are listed in the Table. Patient 1 was referred to our center with colostomy and cystostomy. On urethroscopy and proctoscopy, 1 fistula was noted 1.5 cm in diameter 5 cm from the anal verge and another fistula, 2 cm above the first one, draining to the bulb urethra and bladder trigone, respectively. Patient 2 had cystostomy with apparent fecaluria. On rectal examination, a rectourethral fistula 1.5 cm in diameter was discovered in the anterior rectal wall, 4 cm from the anal verge. In patient 3, cystostomy and colostomy had been done. On urethroscopy, a huge fistula (3 cm in diameter) was noted in the floor of the prostatic fossa, which was also visible through proctoscopy, 6 cm from the anal verge. In patient 3, urethroscopy revealed a fistula at the proximal bulb (1.5 cm in diameter). It was 4 cm from the anal verge on proctoscopy. In patient 4, who was a woman, 1 fistula was seen in the bladder trigone on cystoscopy, draining into the vagina, and on proctoscopy, a rectovaginal fistula (2 cm in diameter) was evident, 5 cm from the anal verge. Finally, in patient 6, a fistula, 2 cm in diameter, was seen, located 5 cm from the anal verge.

Two of the patients (patients 1 and 3) had been referred with colostomy, and for the remaining 4, we performed double-barrel colostomies 2 weeks before the reconstructive surgery. Mechanical bowel preparation was employed with irrigation through the distal part of colostomy tube until the day of surgery. Chemical bowel preparation was performed with 4 oral doses of erythromycin and metronidazole the day before the operation.

All these patients were treated by Latzko transanal technique. The patient was placed in the exaggerated lithotomy position. Afterwards, anal speculum was applied and the fistula orifice was exposed. Around the tract, a fusiform incision was made with the orifice in its center (Figure). The mucosa lying between the incision

---

**Characteristics of Patients With Fistulas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Fistula</th>
<th>History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2 rectourethral fistulas at the floor of bulb urethra</td>
<td>Tractor accident injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extensive pelvic fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1 rectourethral fistula to bulb urethra</td>
<td>Failed operation for urethral stricture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1 rectourethral fistula to prostatic urethra</td>
<td>Prostatectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1 rectourethral fistula</td>
<td>Vigorous urethral dilatation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2 vesicovaginal and rectovaginal fistulas</td>
<td>Colectomy and adjuvant radiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1 rectourethral fistula</td>
<td>Prostatectomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and the orifice was excised in the direction of the incision to the orifice, leaving the fistula edges to meet. The edges were closed by vicryl 3-0 sutures, followed by closure of the muscular layers above it. Finally, the edges of the rectal mucosa were closed (Figure). In patient 2, an appropriate speculum for his age was not accessible. Therefore, a long nasal speculum was used that created a satisfactory exposure. The colostomies were closed in all of the patients 4 to 6 weeks after the operation.

The patients were followed for a median of 44 months (11 to 94 months), and urinary and fecal continence, fecaluria, and pneumaturia were assessed regularly. Continence was defined as the absence of any need to use sanitary pads or diapers.

RESULTS
All the fistulas were successfully repaired in all of the patients after a median follow-up period of 44 months (11 to 94 months). None of the patients reported any episodes of urinary leakage, fecaluria, or pneumaturia. Anal continence was also preserved for all of the patients. The median hospitalization period was 3 days (range, 1 to 7 days). Except for deep vein thrombosis, which occurred in the 4th patient who had a history of deep vein thrombosis too, no other major complication occurred.

DISCUSSION
Rectourethral fistulas are not common, but occasionally occur during surgical interventions for the management of prostate diseases or urethral reconstructions. They do not usually heal spontaneously and often need surgical intervention. Various techniques have been used for the treatment of this complication including abdominal, perineal, and sometimes, mixed approaches. Few cases of transanal approaches (latzko technique) have been reported, the results of which are in accordance with ours. Hata and colleagues reported successful treatment of rectourethral fistula in a single case, and Noldus and coworkers repaired 7 fistulas of this kind in 6 patients. They found transanal approach safe and effective.

Mason method has been suggested by some authors, while some have proposed a series of modifications to this method. Some authors believe that when the rectal end of the fistula is closed, there is no need to close its vesicourethral end. This has been substantiated in our small series. Garofalo and colleagues published their 20-year experience on rectal advancement flap and claimed a 100% success rate. Dreznik and associates also used rectal flap in 3 of their patients with satisfactory results. Visser and colleagues advocated transperineal method for the repair of rectourethral fistula. Others have used methods that are more aggressive. Kraske method is one of the discussed methods, which follows 3 basic principles: complete separation of the urethra from the rectum, prevention from urethral injury, and sparing urinary and stool continence.

CONCLUSION
Due to the high success rate, ease of the operation, and relatively low complication rates, we suggest that the patients with rectovaginal and rectourethral fistulas, irrelevant of the etiology (iatrogenic, traumatic, or malignancy) can be treated by transanal method as a primary procedure. Other methods such as transabdominal and perineal approaches might be better for failed surgeries. In spite of the small
current series, the very low failure rate seems promising.
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